



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,495	08/31/2000	Salman Akram	3847US (98-541)	3659	
75	590 11/30/2001				
Brick G Powe	r			EXAMINER	
Trask Britt P O Box 2550			PAREKH	PAREKH, NITIN	
Salt Lake City,	UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Applicant(s)

Akram

Office Action Summary

Examiner Nitin Parekh

Art Unit **2811** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars	on the c v r she t with th correspond nce addr ss
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period</li> </ul>	y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1)	001
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayl@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-72</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)  Claim(s)	
6)	
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)□disapproved.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have t	peen received.
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have to	peen received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doct application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the calculation 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	
Additional and the state of a drain for domestic pr	only under 33 0.3.0. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)  Other:



Application/Control Number: 09652495

Art Unit: 2811

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-56, drawn to a semiconductor device/apparatus, classified in class 257, subclass 774.
  - II. Claims 57-72, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 106.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the process of the group II invention, since the device of group I invention could be made by the processes different from those of group II invention. For example, by performing the steps in the order of: providing a semiconductor substrate, forming apertures through the bonding pads and the substrate at respective bonding pad sites and then and disposing a conductive material within the apertures to form the protruding bump.

Application/Control Number: 09652495

Art Unit: 2811

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2811 by

facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit via Technology Center 2800 fax

center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the

notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nitin Parekh whose telephone number in (703) 305-3410. The

examiner can be normally reached on Monday-Friday from 08:30 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Thomas, can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Nitin Parekh

11-18-01

TOM THUMAS

Page 3

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800